75253 Copy#2

## JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF JOINT STRATEGIC PLANS GROUP WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

19 March 1952

## MEMORANDUM FOR GENERAL PARTRIDGE:

The following are answers to questions originating in the Intelligence Advisory Committee in regard to establishment of a blockade of Communist China. They were prepared by working teams of the Joint Strategic Plans Group and bear no official approval. It is noted that the subject is currently under study by the Joint Staff.

- 1. Q What U.S. Forces could be made available for the implementation of a sea blockade against Communist China?
- A Sufficient U.S. Naval Forces can be made available from the Pacific Fleet with which to establish an effective blockade of the Coast of China.
- 2. Q What percentage of Communist China's seaborne imports could this force interdict?
- A Seventy-five to ninety per cent of Communist China's seaborne imports could be intercepted.
- 3. Q What would be the effect of the implementation of a sea blockade on U.S. capabilities elsewhere?
- A Blockading forces would be furnished from the Pacific Fleet without material reduction of the forces supporting in Korea. The blockade could be withdrawn at any time, or its effectiveness reduced, if necessary, to fulfill more important commitments.
- 4. Q How would U.S. capabilities to implement the blockade be effected if the Communists were to carry out air and submarine attacks on the blockading ships?
- A The blockade can be established in areas far enough removed to minimize the effect of Communist air attack. The effect of submarine attacks on blockading vessels is questionable, since these ships would be primarily antisubmarine types.

## JOINT STAFF DECLASSIFICATION/RELEASE INSTRUCTIONS ON FILE

- 5. Q Would the U.S. forcefully prevent vessels from running the blockede?
- A Yes. The control of traffic is implicit in the establishment of an effective blockade. See 6 below.
- 6. Q If Port Arthur and Dairen were blockaded, would the U.S. be prepared to interdict Soviet vessels that attempted to run the blockade?
- A The U.S. would be within its rights under international law, and should interdict Soviet merchant vessels attempting to run the blockade. Any concessions to the Russians, which would of course reduce the effectiveness of the blockade, would be adopted for political rather than military reasons. The U.S. would probably not interdict Russian ships if sailed under Russian naval convoy.
- 7. Q If Navy interdiction of Soviet vessels led to attacks, particularly air attacks, against the blockading ships from Soviet forces, would the U.S. still be competent to implement the blockade?
- A The effectiveness of air attack would be minimized by operating in remote areas. An effective blockade could be maintained.

Approved For Release 2000/08/29 : CIA PDP70501011A000100030005-1

		SIGNATI	URE RE	CORD	AND	COVE	R SHEET		
DOCUMENT	DESCRIPTION				<del></del>		RF	GISTRY	
SOURCE						CIA CONTROL NO.	<del></del>		
JIG,						75253-a			
DOC. NO. DDIM						DATE DOCUMENT R			
DOC. DATE 191	ļ					20 March 1952			
copy no. 2						LOGGED BY			
NUMBER OF PAGES NUMBER OF ATTACH						11.			
oy 2 of 75%	253		<u>.</u>						
within the CIA a CIA. Access to ant Top Secret C custody in the let	and will remain atta Top Secret material control Officer who r	ched to the d is limited to eccives and/or vided. The	document those indi r releases name of e	until s viduals the atta	uch time whose off ched Top	as it is o icial duti Secret r	Central Intelligence Agency or or downgraded, destroyed, or transfer relate to the material. Each material will sign this form and en the Top Secret document as	nsmitted outside of a alternate or assist- indicate period of	
REFERRED TO		RECEIVED RE			RELEA	ISED	SEEN BY	SEEN BY	
OFFICE	SIGNATU	RE	DATE	TIME	DATE	TIME	NAME AND OFFICE SYMBOL	DATE	
OAD/NE					20 Mar. 50	1440			
			30		1	11770			
Reading Room			mar	1500	i			1	
Ø	25X1A9a								
	25/1/1/34		<u> </u>		ļ				
<u> </u>							. •		
		<del></del>	<del> </del>						
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					<u> </u>		
		•			}				
			<del>                                     </del>		}				
					ļ				
						De:	osnent No.	1.20	
			1			Po:	ument No. Sou of this document by GIA has		
						¢r:i	Cardinal inch		
					1		S is certified information of GIA		
		<del>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </del>	-		ļi		2000 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	0	
							10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1		
;						1.24	248 Lifeviewer 3	72049	
<del></del>			-				The state of the s	and the same state of the same	
NOTICE OF DETICA	I BAPATT. Year				<u> </u>				
	HMENT: When this f entral Top Secret C			op Secr	et materi	ai it shal	l be completed in the appropria	ate spaces below and	
THE TOP SECRET MATERIAL DETACHED FROM THIS FORM WAS:						BY (Signature)			
DOWNGRADED									
DESTROYED									
DISPATCHED (OUTSIDE CIA) TO						OFFICE	DATE		
ORM NO. 38prb	<b>a</b> d For Releas	e 2000/08/	29 : CTA	- <b>K</b> DF/	<b>03010</b>	1A000	100030005-1	16-61130 2 SPO	